

In the United States Court of Federal Claims
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS
No. 19-1771V
(not to be published)

CARIANA M. FORBUSH as ,
Administrator for ESTATE OF KRISTI
A. BAKER,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: May 12, 2022

Special Processing Unit (SPU);
Attorney's Fees and Costs

Simina Vourlis, Law Offices of Simina Vourlis, Columbus, OH, for Petitioner.

Steven Santayana, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

DECISION ON ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS¹

On November 18, 2019, Kristi Baker filed this action under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*² (the "Vaccine Act"), alleging that she suffered right shoulder injuries related to vaccine administration as a result of a November 23, 2017, influenza vaccine. (Petition at 1-7). On December 20, 2021 a decision was issued awarding compensation to Petitioner based on the Respondent's proffer. (ECF No. 49).

¹ Because this unpublished Decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I am required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

Petitioner has now filed a motion for attorney's fees and costs, dated March 7, 2022 (ECF No. 54), requesting a total award of \$59,305.53 (representing \$58,408.60 in fees and \$896.93 in costs). In accordance with General Order No. 9, Petitioner filed a signed statement indicating that Petitioner incurred no out-of-pocket expenses. (ECF No. 54-5). Respondent reacted to the motion on March 10, 2022, representing that he is satisfied that the statutory requirements for an award of attorney's fees and costs are met in this case, but deferring resolution of the amount to be awarded to my discretion. (ECF No. 55). Petitioner did not file a reply thereafter.

I have reviewed the billing records submitted with Petitioner's requests and find a reduction in the amount of fees to be awarded appropriate, for the reason stated below.

ANALYSIS

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs. Section 15(e). Counsel must submit fee requests that include contemporaneous and specific billing records indicating the service performed, the number of hours expended on the service, and the name of the person performing the service. See *Savin v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 85 Fed. Cl. 313, 316-18 (2008). Counsel should not include in their fee requests hours that are "excessive, redundant, or otherwise unnecessary." *Saxton v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 3 F.3d 1517, 1521 (Fed. Cir. 1993) (quoting *Hensley v. Eckerhart*, 461 U.S. 424, 434 (1983)). It is "well within the special master's discretion to reduce the hours to a number that, in [her] experience and judgment, [is] reasonable for the work done." *Id.* at 1522. Furthermore, the special master may reduce a fee request *sua sponte*, apart from objections raised by respondent and without providing a petitioner notice and opportunity to respond. See *Sabella v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 86 Fed. Cl. 201, 209 (2009). A special master need not engage in a line-by-line analysis of petitioner's fee application when reducing fees. *Broekelschen v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 102 Fed. Cl. 719, 729 (2011).

The petitioner "bears the burden of establishing the hours expended, the rates charged, and the expenses incurred." *Wasson v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 24 Cl. Ct. 482, 484 (1991). The Petitioner "should present adequate proof [of the attorney's fees and costs sought] at the time of the submission." *Wasson*, 24 Cl. Ct. at 484 n.1. Petitioner's counsel "should make a good faith effort to exclude from a fee request hours that are excessive, redundant, or otherwise unnecessary, just as a lawyer in private practice ethically is obligated to exclude such hours from his fee submission." *Hensley*, 461 U.S. at 434.

ATTORNEY FEES

Petitioner requests the following rates of compensation for the work of her attorney Simina Vourlis: \$439 per hour for 2018; \$448 per hour for 2019; \$467 per hour for 2020; \$491 per hour for 2021; and \$525 per hour for 2022. (ECF No. 54 at 1). The rates requested for 2018 - 2021 are consistent with what Ms. Vourlis has been awarded for her work in the Vaccine Program in prior cases, and I shall therefore apply them herein.

However, although the proposed rate for Ms. Vourlis's 2022 work falls within the experience range provided in OSM's recently updated rate chart for similarly situated attorneys, I find the specifically-requested increase to be excessive, despite her 31 years of experience.³ Ms. Vourlis has been counsel in a large number of Vaccine Act cases, but she is not among the Program counsel who most consistently appear in these matters. As a result, a rate at the *very highest* end of this range is not reasonable, as similarly-experienced attorneys with even more experience in the Program nevertheless receive rates closer to mid-range.

Instead, based on my experience applying the factors relevant to determining proper hourly rates for Program attorneys,⁴ a rate of **\$505 per hour** is more appropriate for Ms. Vourlis's 2022 time. This reduces the amount to be awarded herein by **\$70.00**.⁵ All other time billed to the matter shall be awarded.

ATTORNEY COSTS

Petitioner requests \$896.93 in overall costs. (ECF No. 54 at 3). This amount is comprised of obtaining medical records, shipping costs, and the Court's filing fee. I have reviewed all the requested costs, find them to be reasonable, and therefore award the requested amount in full.

CONCLUSION

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs. Section 15(e). Accordingly, I hereby GRANT Petitioner's Motion for attorney's fees and costs. I award a total of **\$59,235.53** (representing \$58,338.60 in fees and \$896.93 in costs) as a

³ The Attorneys' Fee Schedule for 2022 is available at <http://www.uscfc.uscourts.gov/node/2914>.

⁴ See *McCulloch v. Health and Human Services*, No. 09-293V, 2015 WL 5634323 at *17 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Sept. 1, 2015).

⁵ This amount consists of \$525 - \$505 = \$20 x 3.5 hrs = \$70.00.

lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to Petitioner and Petitioner's counsel. In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review (see Appendix B to the Rules of the Court), the Clerk shall enter judgment in accordance with this decision.⁶

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Brian H. Corcoran

Brian H. Corcoran

Chief Special Master

⁶ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by filing a joint notice renouncing their right to seek review.